

RANDALL S. LUSKEY (SBN: 240915)
rluskey@paulweiss.com
**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON
& GARRISON LLP**
535 Mission Street, 24th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (628) 432-5100
Facsimile: (628) 232-3101

ROBERT ATKINS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)
ratkins@paulweiss.com
CAITLIN E. GRUSAUSKAS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)
cgrusauskas@paulweiss.com
ANDREA M. KELLER (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)
akeller@paulweiss.com

**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON
& GARRISON LLP**
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 373-3000
Facsimile: (212) 757-3990

Attorneys for Defendants
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
RASIER, LLC; and RASIER-CA, LLC

[Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page]

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084 CRB

Judge: Honorable Charles Breyer

This Document Relates to:

*Jane Roe CL 6 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc.,*
Case No.: 3:23-cv-05647-CRB

**DECLARATION M. SALCEDO IN
SUPPORT OF STIPULATION
EXTENDING TIME FOR THIRD-PARTY
PLAINTIFFS UBER TECHNOLOGIES,
INC., RAISER, LLC, AND RAISER-CA,
LLC TO RESPOND TO THIRD-PARTY
DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO DISMISS
AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE
ORDER**

I, Maria Salcedo, declare as follows:

1. I am a partner at the law firm of Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P., attorneys of record for Defendants and Third-Party Plaintiffs, Uber Technologies, Rasier LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC, (“Third-

1 Party Plaintiffs”). I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Missouri and the Bar of
2 the State of Florida, and I am admitted pro hac vice in this matter. I know the following facts to be
3 true of my own knowledge, except those matters stated to be based on information and belief, and if
4 called to testify, I could competently do so.

5 2. I respectfully submit this declaration in support of the accompanying Stipulation
6 Extending Third-Party Plaintiffs’ Time to Respond to Third-Party Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss and
7 Motion for Protective Order.

8 3. On December 18, 2024, Defendants/Third-Party Plaintiffs Uber Technologies, Inc.,
9 Raiser, LLC, and Raiser-CA, LLC filed their Third-Party Complaint against Third-Party Defendant
10 William D. Miller, III. (ECF 15). In response, on March 13, 2025, Third-Party Defendant filed a
11 Motion to Dismiss the Third-Party Complaint and Motion for Protective Order. (ECF 29 and 31).
12 Pursuant to Local Rule 7-3, Uber must file its oppositions by March 27, 2025.

13 4. On March 24, 2025, the parties conferred regarding the timing of Third-Party Plaintiffs’
14 response to Third-Party Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Protective Order, and agreed
15 that the March 27 deadline should be extended.

16 5. Specifically, the parties have agreed that Third-Party Plaintiffs may have until April
17 17, 2025 to respond to Third-Party Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Protective Order.
18 This also would extend Third-Party Defendant’s deadline to file replies to April 24, 2025.

19 6. This extension of time is necessary due to the complex issues raised in Third-Party
20 Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Protective Order.

21 7. There has been one prior extension of time in this case when Third-Party Plaintiffs
22 agreed to give Third-Party Defendant additional time to respond to the Third-Party Complaint. (ECF
23 21).

24 8. The extension of time will not affect the case because there is no hearing date set for
25 either motion.

26 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 26th
27 day of March 2025, in Kansas City, Missouri.

/s/ Maria Salcedo

Mari Salcedo

(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

msalcedo@shb.com

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.

2555 Grand Blvd.

Kansas City, MO 64108

Telephone: (816) 474-6550

Facsimile: (816) 421-5547

Attorney for Defendants

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC